NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY

FILED	
Jun 08 2015	
LERK, U.S. DISTRICT COU HERN DISTRICT OF CALIF s/kennethm	

TO: 🗵 U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE / 🗆 U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Roger T. Benitez			
FROM: T. Hernandez, Deputy Clerk		dez, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 6/8/2015	
CA	SE NO. <u>14cv2</u>	797-BEN-WVG DOC FILED BY: <u>Judy Anne Mokovitz</u>	
CASE TITLE: Mikovits v. Whittemore Peterson Institute, The			
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Request for Continuance			
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:			
L	OCAL RULE	AL RULE DISCREPANCY	
\boxtimes	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation	
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper	
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.	
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules / Documents are not timely	
\boxtimes	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document	
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memorandum exceed length restrictions	
	7.1	Missing table of contents	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order	
		Supplemental documents require court order	
		Default judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest	
\boxtimes	OTHER:	Missing Proof of Service	
Date Forwarded: 6/8/2015			
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:			
\boxtimes	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.		
	The document is NOT to be filed. But instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties		
serve a copy of this order on all parties. Rejected documents to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court copy retained by chambers			
Any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to CivLocal Rule 83.1			
Date: 6/8/2015 CHAMBERS OF: Honorable Roger T. Benitez			
cc.	All Parties	By: s/Clerk	